



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/833,944	04/12/2001	David B. Dwyer	H0002046	3235
128	7590 09/25/2006		EXAMINER	
HONEYWEI	LL INTERNATIONA	AMINI, JAVID A		
101 COLUMB P O BOX 2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			2628	
			2628	

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/833,944	DWYER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Javid A. Amini	2628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 Ju</u>						
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	n panto quayro, reco enercis, re					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>18-27</u> is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	6) Claim(s) 10-17 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-12</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prioric application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 09/833,944 Page 2

Art Unit: 2628

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/10/2006 has been entered.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18-27 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The first and second color difference are each defined by the following equation:

 $\Delta E (Y, u', v') = [(155 \Delta Y/Y_{min})^2 + (367 \Delta u')^2 + (167 \Delta v')^2]^{1/2}$

Claims 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The third visual representation masks said first visual representation in said second common region if said processor determines the predefined event has occurred.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,944

Art Unit: 2628

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davies US. 6,262,741 B1, and further in view of Almeida, Gorete et al. EP 0 927 941 A2 (hereinafter refers as Gorete).

1. Claim 10.

Davies in figs. 6 illustrates the claim limitations of: "An apparatus for displaying a plurality of data categories, comprising: a display that is configured to display a cursor, and to produce a first visual representation of data of a first data category of the plurality of data categories and a second visual representation of data of a second data category of said plurality of data categories", see, Davies at col. 3, lines 50-54. But, Davies does not teach explicitly the step of "a processor that is configured to receive the data of each of the plurality of data categories and control said display to present said first visual representation of the data of said first data category superimposed over said second visual representation of the data of said second data category", however, Gorete at col. 5, lines 2-4 teaches the steps, also Gorete at col. 7 lines 1-5 teaches the step of "the first visual representation masks said second visual representation in a first common region of said first visual representation and said second visual representation, Gorete in fig. 6 item 640 teaches that the processor further configured to determine an occurrence of a predefined event and, upon determining the predefined event has occurred, to

Application/Control Number: 09/833,944 Page 4

Art Unit: 2628

superimpose said second visual representation of the data of said second data category over said first visual representation of the data of said first data category whereby the second visual representation masks said first visual representation in said first common region." It is obvious masking the first data with the second data by selecting the second data. Wherein the predefined event includes movement of the cursor by a user, a predefined change in the data of one or more of the plurality of displayed data categories or both. Gorete at paragraph 0020 teaches also Davies at col. 8, lines 28-37 teaches each layer has the same overall dimensions and has the same outer boundary. Davies at col. 10, lines 5-7 teaches the boundaries of the states are depicted in FIG. 6c. Davies in fig. 10 step 614 receives objects that are representative data of a predefined event, e.g. the four tiles being displayed may be based upon the current location of the GIS system based upon a signal received from a GPS satellite. Davies in fig. 4 illustrates a block diagram illustrating an exemplary computer system 100 upon which an embodiment of the invention may be implemented.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute applicant 's described structure, by modifying Gorete into Davies in order to configure the display to present the first visual representation of the first data category superimposed over the second visual representation of the second data category. The motivation for modifying the references is as follows: minimizing topological data structures that are very expensive to assemble all the relationships needed between each of the leakage. Gorete at paragraph 0004 teaches that certain industries rely on historical performance data to troubleshoot or plan around unique problems.

2. Claim 13.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,944

Art Unit: 2628

"The apparatus of Claim 10, wherein the data of said plurality of data categories are vehicle data categories", Davies in fig. 4 illustrates a block diagram illustrating an exemplary computer system 100 upon which an embodiment of the invention may be implemented. The invention is usable with currently available personal computers, mini-mainframes and the like. The invention is also envisioned as usable in the cockpit of an aircraft, on a ship and in moving land vehicles. It is believed that invention described herein can readily be adapted for specific hardware configurations for each of these operating environments.

3. Claim 14.

"The apparatus of Claim 10, wherein the data of said plurality of data categories are aircraft data categories", Davies in fig. 4 illustrates a block diagram illustrating an exemplary computer system 100 upon which an embodiment of the invention may be implemented. The invention is usable with currently available personal computers, mini-mainframes and the like. The invention is also envisioned as usable in the cockpit of an aircraft, on a ship and in moving land vehicles. It is believed that invention described herein can readily be adapted for specific hardware configurations for each of these operating environments.

4. Claim 15.

"The apparatus of Claim 10, wherein said display is a Multi-Function Display (MFD)", Davies at cols. 14-15 lines 65-67 and 1-3 respectively teaches the system also provides database management allowing data entry, data editing retrieval functions include the ability to select certain attributes and records based on their values. Objects are highlighted which is a way of indicating to the user that a feature is the successful result of a query.

5. Claim 16.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,944

Art Unit: 2628

"The apparatus of Claim 10, wherein the data of said first data category is sensor data", the step is obvious because the data received from GPS considered as a sensor data.

6. Claim 17.

"The apparatus of Claim 10, wherein the data of said second data category is navigation data", the step is obvious because the data received from GPS is considered as navigation data. Applicant requires being more explicit about the second data considered as navigation data.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javid A. Amini whose telephone number is 571-272-7654. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,944 Page 7

Art Unit: 2628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on 571-272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Javid A Amini Examiner Art Unit 2628

J.A.

1.1

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER